arXiv:1605.07388v1 [math.AP] 24 May 2016

THRESHOLD AND STRONG THRESHOLD SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

PAVOL QUITTNER

ABSTRACT. If $p > 1 + 2/n$ then the equation $u_t - \Delta u = u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0$, possesses both positive global solutions and positive solutions which blow up in finite time. We study the large time behavior of radial positive solutions lying on the borderline between global existence and blow-up.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider positive classical solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$\text{(1)} \qquad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = u^p & x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

with $p > p_F := 1 + 2/n$ and $u_0 \in BC^+ \setminus \{0\}$, where BC denotes the space of bounded continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $BC^+ := \{\phi \in BC : \phi \ge 0\}$. We will study the large-time behavior of solutions lying on the borderline between global existence and blow-up. Positive solutions of (1) which blow up in finite time exist for all $p > 1$. For such p, the assumption $p > p_F$ is necessary and sufficient for the existence of positive global solutions.

In addition to the exponent p_F we will often work with the following critical exponents:

$$p_{sg} := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \le 2, \\ 1 + \frac{2}{n-2} & \text{if } n \ge 3, \end{cases} \qquad p_S := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \le 2, \\ 1 + \frac{4}{n-2} & \text{if } n \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

$$p_{JL} := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \le 10, \\ 1 + 4\frac{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}{(n-2)(n-10)} & \text{if } n > 10. \end{cases}$$

If $p > p_{sg}$ then the function

$$\text{(2)} \qquad u_*(x) := L|x|^{-2/(p-1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad L^{p-1} := \frac{2}{(p-1)^2}\big((n-2)p - n\big),$$

is a singular steady state of (1). Notice that $L = L(n,p) \to 0$ as $p \to p_{sg}+$; we set $L = L(n,p) := 0$ if $p \le p_{sg}$. If $p \ge p_S$ then for each $\alpha > 0$ there exists a unique positive radially symmetric and radially decreasing steady state u_α of (1) satisfying $u_\alpha(0) = \alpha$. These solutions intersect each other

(and the singular steady state u_*) if and only if $p < p_{JL}$. It was shown in [7] that the following is true:

Proposition 1. (i) *Let $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$ and $\alpha > 0$. If $u_0 \ge u_\alpha$ and $u_0 \not\equiv u_\alpha$ then the solution of* (1) *blows up in finite time. If $u_0 \le u_\alpha$ and $u_0 \not\equiv u_\alpha$ then the solution of* (1) *exists globally and $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.*

(ii) *Let $p \ge p_{JL}$ and $\alpha > 0$. If $u_0 \ge \lambda u_\alpha$ for some $\lambda > 1$ then the solution of* (1) *blows up in finite time. If $u_0 \le \lambda u_\alpha$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)$ then the solution of* (1) *exists globally and $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. On the other hand, the solution u_α is stable in a suitable weighted Lebesgue space, and, in particular, the properties in* (i) *do not hold.*

Inspired by this result we say that a solution u^* of (1) with initial data u_0^* is a **threshold solution** if the following is true: If $u_0 \ge \lambda u_0^*$ for some $\lambda > 1$ then the solution of (1) blows up in finite time; if $u_0 \le \lambda u_0^*$ for some $\lambda < 1$ then the solution of (1) exists globally. We say that a solution u^* of (1) with initial data u_0^* is a **strong threshold solution** if the following holds: If $u_0 \ge u_0^*$, $u_0 \not\equiv u_0^*$ then the solution of (1) blows up in finite time; if $u_0 \le u_0^*$, $u_0 \not\equiv u_0^*$ then the solution of (1) exists globally. If u^* is a threshold solution but not a strong threshold solution then we say that it is a **weak threshold solution**. Hence, in particular, the steady states u_α are strong or weak threshold solutions if $p \in [p_s, p_{JL})$ or $p \ge p_{JL}$, respectively.

Threshold solutions have been intensively studied. It is well known that their behavior strongly depends on the exponent p and the spatial decay of the initial data; we recall some of the corresponding results below. On the other hand, the question, whether the threshold solution is weak or strong, has attracted much less attention. Even the fact that for any $p > p_F$ there exists both weak and strong threshold solutions (which will be an easy consequence of our results) does not seem to be known.

The existence of weak threshold solutions for any $p > p_F$ follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Given $p > p_F$ there exists $L^* = L^*(n,p) > 0$ with the following properties: Let $u_0 \in BC^+$ and let u denote the solution of* (1).
(i) *If $u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} \le L^*$ for all x then u is global.*
In addition, if $p < p_{JL}$ then $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \le Ct^{-1/(p-1)}$ as $t \to \infty$.
(ii) *If $\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} > L^*$ then u blows up in finite time.*
(iii) *$L^*(n,p) > L(n,p)$ if $p < p_{JL}$, $L^*(n,p) = L(n,p)$ if $p \ge p_{JL}$.*

In fact, taking u_0 such that

$$u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} \le L^* \ \text{ for all } \ x \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|x|\to\infty} u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} = L^*,$$

Theorem 1 guarantees that u is a weak threshold solution. In addition, this solution decays to zero as $t \to \infty$ if $p < p_{JL}$. On the other hand, it is known that this solution may decay to zero (i.e. $\lim \|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$), converge to a positive steady state, grow up (i.e. $\lim \|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$), or exhibit a more complicated behavior if $p \ge p_{JL}$, see [8, 7, 20].

Theorem 1 follows from [17, Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.3] if $p < p_{JL}$ or [26, Theorem 20.5] and [8, Theorem 4(iii)] if $p \geq p_{JL}$. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1 for $p < p_{JL}$ by using different arguments than those in [17].

The existence and behavior of strong threshold solutions are much less understood. If $p > p_S$ then [21, Lemma 2] shows that any radially symmetric threshold solution with $u_0 \in BC^+ \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a strong threshold solution, and it is also known that such solutions blow up in finite time, see [14, 15, 13, 27]. On the other hand, the only known global strong threshold solutions seem to be the steady states u_α for $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$. In order to study the existence and properties of strong threshold solutions we will restrict ourselves to the initial data $u_0 \in X$, where

$$\begin{cases} X \text{ is the set of nonnegative, continuous, radially symmetric} \\ \qquad \text{and radially nonincreasing functions in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The main technical tool in our study will be the following characterization of strong threshold solutions.

Theorem 2. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $u_0 \in X$ then the corresponding solution u of (1) is a strong threshold solution if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $v_1, v_2 \in X$ such that $\|u_0 - v_1\| + \|u_0 - v_2\| < \varepsilon$, and the solution of (1) with initial data v_1 or v_2 exists globally or blows up in finite time, respectively.*

Theorem 2 guarantees, in particular, that any threshold solution with initial data $u_0 \in X \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a strong threshold solution. However, we will mainly use this theorem to study strong threshold solutions with slow spatial decay, satisfying $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} = L^*$, for example. We will show that (in addition to the above mentioned strong threshold solutions which blow up in finite time if $p > p_S$, and the strong threshold steady states for $p_S \leq p < p_{JL}$), for any $p > p_F$ there exist global strong threshold solutions (GSTS for short) with initial data in X. In particular,

- if $p_F < p < p_{JL}$ then there exists a GSTS u which decays to zero,
- if $p \geq p_{JL}$ then there exists a GSTS u which grows up,
- if $p = p_S$ then there exists a non-stationary GSTS u which converges to a positive steady state,
- if $p = p_S$ and $n = 3$ then there exists a GSTS u which grows up,
- if $p > p_S$ then there exists a GSTS u such that

$$0 = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty < \limsup_{t\to\infty} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty = \infty. \tag{4}$$

Global solutions with large-time behaviors just mentioned have mostly been known (see [21] in the case of (4) and $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, for example), but it is not clear whether those solutions are strong threshold solutions. On the other hand, we often use those solutions or the methods of proofs of their existence in order to prove the existence of a GSTS with the same large-time behavior.

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>$p_F < p < p_S$</td><td>$p = p_S$</td><td>$p_S < p < p_{JL}$</td><td>$p \ge p_{JL}$</td></tr>
<tr><td>Decay to zero</td><td colspan="3">YES</td><td>YES/?</td></tr>
<tr><td>Steady states</td><td rowspan="5">NO</td><td colspan="2">NO/YES</td><td>YES/NO</td></tr>
<tr><td>Convergence to a positive steady state</td><td>?/YES</td><td rowspan="2">?</td><td>YES/?</td></tr>
<tr><td>Grow-up</td><td>?/YES*</td><td>YES</td></tr>
<tr><td>Blow-up</td><td colspan="2">NO</td><td colspan="2">NO/YES</td></tr>
<tr><td>Other</td><td>?</td><td>?/YES</td><td>YES</td></tr>
</table>

TABLE 1. Possible behavior of weak/strong threshold solutions of (1) with initial data in X.
YES*... if $n = 3$; see Remark 2(i)

It is known that if $p \ge p_{JL}$ then the singular steady state u_* is a strong threshold singular solution in a suitable sense (see [6]). The following theorem shows that an analogous result is true in the case $p < p_{JL}$.

Theorem 3. *Assume $p_F < p < p_{JL}$ and let $L^* = L^*(n,p)$ be the constant defined in Theorem 1. Let $\tilde u$ be the minimal weak solution of (1) with singular initial data $L^*|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$. Then $\tilde u$ is a global self-similar solution of the form $\tilde u(x,t) = t^{-1/(p-1)} w(|x|/\sqrt{t})$ with w bounded, and the solution with initial data $\tilde u(\cdot, t_0)$ is a strong threshold solution for any $t_0 > 0$.*

We summarize known (and our) results on the behavior of weak/strong threshold solutions with initial data in X in Table 1: If a cell contains just "YES", for example, then this means that there exist both weak and strong threshold solutions with the designated property; in the row "Convergence to a positive steady state" we only consider *non-stationary* solutions. The results in Table 1 for $p < p_S$ follow from [10, 19] and Theorems 1, 2, global existence of all threshold solutions if $p = p_S$ or all weak threshold solutions if $p > p_S$ (i.e. "NO" for blow-up) follows from [6] or [21, the proof of Lemma 2], respectively, and the remaining results have already been mentioned above. Some results related to the question marks for strong threshold solutions can be found in Proposition 3 and Remark 2(ii). If $p = p_S$ then [5, Conjecture 1.1] suggests that a growing-up strong threshold solution should exist if $n \le 4$.

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>$1 < p < p_S$</td><td>$p = p_S$</td><td>$p > p_S$</td></tr>
<tr><td>Steady states</td><td rowspan="2">YES</td><td colspan="2" rowspan="2">NO</td></tr>
<tr><td>Convergence to a positive steady state</td></tr>
<tr><td>Grow-up</td><td rowspan="3">NO</td><td>YES</td><td>NO</td></tr>
<tr><td>Blow-up</td><td>NO</td><td>YES</td></tr>
<tr><td>Other</td><td colspan="2">NO</td></tr>
</table>

TABLE 2. Possible behavior of threshold solutions of (5) with $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$ and $u_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ being radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing, $u_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

It should be mentioned that the corresponding notions of threshold and strong threshold solutions coincide in the case of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} u_t - \Delta u = u^p, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{array}\right. \tag{5}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u_0 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $u_0 \geq 0$, $u_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. In addition, the behavior of threshold solutions of (5) is well understood if Ω is a ball and u_0 is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing (see Table 2), and the results remain true in a more general situation. In fact, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded, smooth, and let $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_0 \geq 0$. If $p < p_S$ then any threshold solution of (5) is global, bounded, and its ω-limit set consists of nontrivial equilibria. If $p > p_S$ and Ω is convex then the threshold solutions blow up in finite time due to [2] (see also [1, Proposition 6.6(ii)]). On the other hand, if $p \geq p_S$ and Ω is an annulus, for example, then any radial threshold solution converges to the unique positive radial steady state: This follows from the estimates in the proof of [19, Theorem 4.1] and [18, Theorem 1.2].

Let us also mention that the behavior of non-radial threshold solutions of the Cauchy problem (1) is open even in the subcritical case: If $p_F < p < p_S$ then the global existence and decay of such solutions is only known under the additional assumption $p < n(n+2)/(n-1)^2$ or $n \leq 2$, see [19, 24], or for exponentially decaying initial data, see [10] or [26, Theorem 28.9]; global existence (without decay) is also known for $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap$

$L^{(p+1)/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [23, Theorem 1.2(v)]. On the other hand, if $p > p_S$ and the (non-radial) initial data $u_0 \in BC^+$ are continuously differentiable and satisfy either

$$|\nabla u_0|^2 + u_0^{p+1} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for some } q \in \Big[1, \frac{n}{2}\frac{p-1}{p+1}\Big),$$

or

$$u_0(x) + |x||\nabla u_0(x)| = o(|x|^{-2/(p-1)}) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty,$$

then the threshold solution blows up in finite time, see [27].

2. Preliminaries

We will consider classical solutions of (1) of the form $u(x,t) = U(|x|,t)$. In particular, $u_*(x,t) = U_*(|x|,t)$ and $u_\alpha(x,t) = U_\alpha(x,t)$, where u_* and u_α are the singular and regular steady states defined in the Section 1.

By z we denote the zero number functional on the interval $[0,\infty)$. More precisely, given $\varphi \in C([0,\infty))$, we set $z(\varphi) = 0$ if φ does not change sign, and

$$\begin{cases} z(\varphi) := \sup\{k : \exists \ \ 0 \le r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_{k+1} \ \text{ such that} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \varphi(r_i)\varphi(r_{i+1}) < 0 \ \text{ for } \ i = 1,2,\dots,k\} \end{cases}$$

otherwise. Similarly, $z_{[0,R]}$ denotes the zero number functional on the interval $[0,R]$. Recall also that X is defined in (3), set

$$X_1 := \{\Phi \in C([0,\infty)) : \Phi \text{ is nonincreasing, } \Phi \ge 0\} \tag{6}$$

and notice that $u_0 \in X$ if and only if $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ for some $\Phi \in X_1$.

The proof of the following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1. *Assume $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ for some $\Phi \in X_1$. Then the corresponding solution of (1) is a threshold solution if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\Phi_\varepsilon^+, \Phi_\varepsilon^- \in X_1$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \le \Phi_\varepsilon^- \le \Phi \le \Phi_\varepsilon^+, \\ \text{the solution with initial data } \Phi_\varepsilon^-(|x|) \text{ exists globally}, \\ \text{the solution with initial data } \Phi_\varepsilon^+(|x|) \text{ blows up in finite time}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Phi_\varepsilon^+ - \Phi_\varepsilon^- \le \varepsilon\Phi.$$

Definition 1. Assume $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ for some $\Phi \in X_1$. We say that the corresponding solution u of (1) is an **L^1-threshold solution** if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\Phi_\varepsilon^+, \Phi_\varepsilon^- \in X_1$ such that (7) is true and

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon^+ - \Phi_\varepsilon^-\|_\infty + \int_0^\infty |\Phi_\varepsilon^+(r) - \Phi_\varepsilon^-(r)| r^{n-1}\, dr \le \varepsilon,$$

Lemma 2. *Assume $p_S \le p < p_{JL}$. Let u be a threshold or an L^1-threshold solution with initial data $u_0 \in X$ and maximal existence time T, and let $\Phi, \Phi_\varepsilon^\pm$ be as in Lemma 1 or Definition 1, respectively. Fix $\beta > 0$ and assume $z(\Phi - U_\beta) = 1$. If $\Phi(0) < U_\beta(0)$ and $z(\Phi_\varepsilon^- - U_\beta) = 1$ for each ε small then $u(0,t) < U_\beta(0)$ for all $t \in (0,T)$. If $\Phi(0) > U_\beta(0)$, and $z(\Phi_\varepsilon^+ - U_\beta) = 1$ for each ε small then $u(0,t) > U_\beta(0)$ for all $t \in (0,T)$.*

Proof. We have $u(x,t) = U(|x|,t)$ with $U(r,0) = \Phi(r)$.

First assume $\Phi(0) < U_\beta(0)$ and $z(\Phi_\varepsilon^- - U_\beta) = 1$ for each ε small. Assume on the contrary that $U(0,t_0) \ge U_\beta(0)$ for some $t_0 \in (0,T)$. Then the zero number properties (see [22, Proposition 2.6]) and the maximum principle guarantee $z(U(\cdot,t) - U_\beta) = 0$ and $U(\cdot,t) > U_\beta$ for $t > t_0$. Fixing such t, the continuous dependence on initial values guarantees the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that the solution $v_\varepsilon^-(x,t) = V_\varepsilon^-(|x|,t)$ of (1) with initial data $V_\varepsilon^-(r,0) = \Phi_\varepsilon^-$ satisfies $V_\varepsilon^-(0,t) > U_\beta(0)$, hence $z(V_\varepsilon^-(\cdot,t) - U_\beta) = 0$ and $V_\varepsilon^-(\cdot,t) > U_\beta$. Now Proposition 1 contradicts the global existence of v_ε^-.

Next assume $\Phi(0) > U_\beta(0)$ and $z(\Phi_\varepsilon^+ - U_\beta) = 1$ for each ε small. Assume on the contrary that $U(0,t_0) \le U_\beta(0)$ for some $t_0 \in (0,T)$. Let $v_\varepsilon^+(x,t) = V_\varepsilon^+(|x|,t)$ denote the solution of (1) with initial data $V_\varepsilon^+(r,0) = \Phi_\varepsilon^+$ Then similarly as above we obtain the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > t_0$ such that $V_\varepsilon^+(\cdot,t) < U_\beta$. Since v_ε^+ blows up in finite time, Proposition 1 yields a contradiction. □

Lemma 3. *Let $v(x,t) = V(|x|,t)$ be a global bounded positive solution of (1) satisfying $z(V_t(\cdot,t_0)) < \infty$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Then $V(0,t) \to \beta \in [0,\infty)$ as $t \to \infty$. If $\beta > 0$ then $V(\cdot,t) \to U_\beta$ in C_{loc} as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. Since the function $t \mapsto z(V_t(\cdot,t))$ is finite and nonincreasing for $t > t_0$, and it drops whenever $V_t(0,t) = 0$ (see [22, Proposition 2.6]), there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $V_t(0,t)$ does not change sign for $t > t_1$. Therefore, $V(0,t) \to \beta \in [0,\infty)$ as $t \to \infty$. Next assume $\beta > 0$. Then any v_∞ in the ω-limit set (in C_{loc}) of v is a bounded entire radial nonnegative solution of (1) satisfying $v_\infty(0,t) = \beta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_\infty(x,t) = V_\infty(|x|,t)$. If

$$V_\infty(r_0, t_0 + \tau_0) \ne V_\infty(r_0,t_0) \text{ for some } r_0 > 0,\ t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \tau_0 > 0$$

then $V_\infty(r_0, t+\tau_0) \ne V_\infty(r_0,t)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_0+\varepsilon)$, hence $z_{[0,r_0]}(V_\infty(\cdot,t+\tau_0) - V_\infty(\cdot,t))$ is finite for $t \in (t_0, t_0+\varepsilon)$ and drops at any $t \in (t_0, t_0+\varepsilon)$, which yields a contradiction, cf. [11, Corollary 2.9]. Consequently, $V_\infty(\cdot,t) = U_\beta$ for each t. □

3. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we will prove Theorem 2 by showing that each L^1-threshold solution is a strong threshold solution.

Proposition 2. *Let $\phi, \psi \in C([0,\infty))$ be nonnegative, $z(\phi - \psi) = 1$, $\phi(0) > \psi(0)$, $\int_0^\infty \psi(r) r^{n-1}\,dr < \int_0^\infty \phi(r) r^{n-1}\,dr < \infty$. Let $u_0 \in X$, $u_0 > 0$.*

(i) Assume that $u_0(x)+\phi(|x|)$ and $u_0(x)+\psi(|x|)$ are radially nonincreasing. Let u_ϕ or u_ψ be the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x)+\phi(|x|)$ or $u_0(x)+\psi(|x|)$, respectively. Fix $M > u_\phi(0,0)$ and assume that $u_\psi(0,t) < M$ for $t < t_0$ and $u_\psi(0,t_0) = M$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Then $u_\phi(0,t) = M$ for some $t \le t_0$.

(ii) Assume that $u_0(x) - \phi(|x|)$ and $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|)$ are nonnegative and radially nonincreasing, Let u_ϕ or u_ψ be the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) - \phi(|x|)$ or $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|)$, respectively. If $u_\psi(0,t) \le M$ for some $M > 0$ and $t \le t_0$, then $u_\phi(0,t) \le M$ for $t \le t_0$.

Proof. We will only prove (i); the proof of (ii) is analogous. As above, we will write u_ϕ, u_ψ in the form $u_\phi(x,t) = U_\phi(|x|,t)$ and $u_\psi(x,t) = U_\psi(|x|,t)$.

Assume on the contrary $u_\phi(0,t) < M$ for $t \le t_0$. We have

$$z(U_\phi(\cdot,0) - U_\psi(\cdot,0)) = z(\phi - \psi) = 1$$

and $U_\phi(0,0) > U_\psi(0,0)$, $U_\phi(0,t_0) < U_\psi(0,t_0)$. The zero number properties guarantee the existence of $t_1 \in (0,t_0)$ such that $z(U_\phi(\cdot,t) - U_\psi(\cdot,t)) = 1$ for $t < t_1$, $z(U_\phi(\cdot,t) - U_\psi(\cdot,t)) = 0$ for $t > t_1$, hence $U_\phi \le U_\psi$ for $t \ge t_1$. Consequently, denoting

$$f(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_\phi(x,t) - u_\psi(x,t))\,dx,$$

we have $f(0) > 0$ and $f(t_1) \le 0$.

Given $t \in (0,t_1)$, let r_t be the unique zero of $U_\phi(\cdot,t) - U_\psi(\cdot,t)$, i.e. $U_\phi(r_t,t) = U_\psi(r_t,t)$. Set $c_t := pU_\phi(r_t,t)^{p-1}$. Since u_ϕ, u_ψ are radially nonincreasing, we have

$$(U_\phi^p - U_\psi^p)(r,t) = pU_\theta^{p-1}(r,t)(U_\phi - U_\psi)(r,t) \ge c_t(U_\phi - U_\psi)(r,t),$$

where we used $U_\theta(r,t) \ge U_\psi(r,t) \ge U_\psi(r_t,t) = U_\phi(r_t,t)$ if $r \le r_t$ and, similarly, $U_\theta(r,t) \le U_\phi(r_t,t)$ if $r \ge r_t$. We also have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rl} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_\phi - u_\psi) &= \Delta(u_\phi - u_\psi) + (u_\phi^p - u_\psi^p) \\ &= \Delta(u_\phi - u_\psi) + a(x,t)(u_\phi - u_\psi) \\ &\ge \Delta(u_\phi - u_\psi) + c_t(u_\phi - u_\psi), \end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

where $a(x,t) := pu_\theta^{p-1}(x,t) \in [0, pM^{p-1}]$ and $(U_\phi - U_\psi)(\cdot,0) = \phi - \psi$. Integrating (8) over $\mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain

$$f'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,t)(u_\phi - u_\psi)(x,t)\,dx \ge c_t f(t),$$

hence $f(t) \ge f(0) > 0$ for $t \le t_1$, which yields a contradiction. □

Remark 1. Assumption $\phi(0) > \psi(0)$ in Proposition 2 can be replaced by the following assumption: There exist $r \ge 0$ such that $\phi(r) > \psi(r)$ and $\phi \ge \psi$ on $[0,r]$. In fact, this more general assumption guarantees that the more restrictive assumption will be satisfied after a time shift. □

Lemma 4. *Let u be an L^1-threshold solution with initial data $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ and maximal existence time T. Fix $t_0 \in (0,T)$ and let v be the solution of (1) with initial data $u(\cdot,t_0)$. Then v is an L^1-threshold solution.*

Proof. Let $\Phi_\varepsilon^\pm$ be from Definition 1, $\tilde{u}_0 := u(\cdot,t_0)$. If ε is small enough then the continuous dependence of solutions of (1) on initial data in L^∞ guarantees that the solutions $u_\varepsilon^\pm$ with initial data $\Phi_\varepsilon^\pm$ exist for $t \le t_0$, and there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, u_0, t_0) > 0$ such that $\|u_\varepsilon^\pm(\cdot,t_0) - \tilde{u}_0\|_\infty \le \tilde{\varepsilon}/2$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The function $w := u_\varepsilon^\pm - u$ does not change sign, belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for fixed t and solves the equation $w_t = \Delta w + aw$, where $a = a(x,t)$ is bounded for $t \le t_0$ (see the proof of Proposition 2). Integrating this equation and enlarging $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ if necessary we obtain $\|u_\varepsilon^\pm(\cdot,t_0) - \tilde{u}_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \tilde{\varepsilon}/2$. Consequently, the functions $\tilde{\Phi}(|x|) := \tilde{u}_0(x)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^\pm(|x|) := \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^\pm(x,t_0)$ satisfy the conditions in Definition 1 (with u_0 and ε replaced by $\tilde{u}_0$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$). □

Lemma 5. *Let u be an L^1-threshold solution with initial data $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$. Then, for any $\delta > 0$ small enough, there exist $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X_1$ such that $\delta \ge \phi_i(0) > 0 = \phi_i(\delta)$, $i = 1,2$, and the following is true:*

(i) The solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) + \phi_1(|x|)$ blows up in finite time.

(ii) If $\Phi(r) < \Phi(0)$ for $r > 0$ then $\Phi - \phi_2 \in X_1$ and the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) - \phi_2(|x|)$ exists globally.

Proof. Let $\Phi_\varepsilon^\pm$ be from Definition 1.

(i) Fix $\delta > 0$ small and $\phi \in X_1$ satisfying $\delta \ge \phi(0) > 0 = \phi(\delta)$. Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ small and choose $\varphi_\varepsilon \in X_1$ such that $\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon(0) = \varphi_\varepsilon(\delta) > \varphi_\varepsilon(2\delta) = 0$. Set $\tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon := \max(\Phi_\varepsilon^+, \Phi + \varphi_\varepsilon)$ and notice that $\tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon = \Phi + \varepsilon$ on $[0,\delta]$. If ε is small enough then the functions ϕ and $\psi := \tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon - \Phi$ satisfy the assumptions in Proposition 2(i). Since the solution u_ψ with initial data $u_0(x) + \psi(|x|) = \tilde{\Phi}_\varepsilon(|x|) \ge \Phi_\varepsilon^+(|x|)$ blows up in finite time, Proposition 2(i) and the radial monotonicity of u_ψ guarantee that the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) + \phi(|x|)$ blows up in finite time.

(ii) Assume that $\Phi(r) < \Phi(0)$ for $r > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ small and $r_0 \in (0,\delta)$ such that $\Phi(0) - \Phi(r_0) < \delta$. Set $\phi(r) := \max(0, \Phi(r) - \Phi(r_0))$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough then the functions ϕ and $\psi := \Phi - \Phi_\varepsilon^-$ satisfy either $\psi \le \phi$ or the assumptions in Proposition 2(ii). Since the solution with initial data $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|) = \Phi_\varepsilon^-(|x|)$ exists globally, the comparison principle or Proposition 2(ii) and the radial monotonicity guarantee that the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) - \phi(|x|)$ exists globally. □

Lemma 6. *Let u be an L^1-threshold solution, $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$. Let $\psi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be bounded and continuous, $\psi \not\equiv 0$. Then the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) + \psi(|x|)$ blows up in finite time. If $u_0(x) \ge \psi(|x|)$ then the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|)$ exits globally.*

Proof. Choose $r_1 > 0$ such that $\psi(r_1) > 0$ and fix a smooth function $\tilde{\psi} : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with support in $[r_1/2, 2r_1]$ such that $\tilde{\psi} \le \psi$ and $\tilde{\psi} \not\equiv 0$.

Fix $t_0 > 0$ small and notice that the solution $\tilde{u}(x,t) = \tilde{U}(|x|,t)$ with initial data $u_0(x) + \tilde{\psi}(|x|)$ satisfies $\tilde{u}(0,t_0) > u(0,t_0)$ by the strong comparison principle. Consider $\delta \in (0, r_1/2)$ and let ϕ_1 be the function from Lemma 5. The continuous dependence on initial data guarantees that choosing δ small enough, the solution $u_{\phi_1}(x,t) = U_{\phi_1}(|x|,t)$ with initial data $u_0(x) + \phi_1(|x|)$ satisfies $U_{\phi_1}(0,t_0) < \tilde{U}(0,t_0)$. Since $U_{\phi_1}(0,0) > \tilde{U}(0,0)$ and $z(U_{\phi_1}(\cdot,0) - \tilde{U}(\cdot,0)) = 1$, we have $\tilde{U}(\cdot,t) \geq U_{\phi_1}(\cdot,t)$ for $t \geq t_0$. Since u_{ϕ_1} blows up in finite time, $\tilde{u}$ blows up as well, and, consequently, the solution with initial data $u_0(x) + \psi(|x|)$ also blows up.

The proof of global existence for the initial data $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|)$ is analogous if $\Phi(r) < \Phi(0)$ for $r > 0$. If this assumption fails then one can choose $t_0 > 0$ small and replace u_0 and ψ with $\tilde{u}_0 := u(\cdot, u_0)$ and $\tilde{\psi} := \tilde{u}_0 - u_\psi(\cdot, t_0)$ where u_ψ is the solution with initial data $u_0(x) - \psi(|x|)$. Then $\tilde{u}_0$ radially decreasing, and it is an L^1-threshold solution due to Lemma 4 so that one use the above arguments to show the global existence of u_ψ. □

Theorem 4. *Any L^1-threshold solution is a strong threshold solution.*

Proof. Let u be an L^1-threshold solution with initial data $u_0(x) := \Phi(|x|)$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ be bounded and continuous, $\varphi \not\equiv 0$. We want to prove that the solution u_φ of (1) with initial data $u_0 + \varphi$ blows up in finite time. Fix $\tau > 0$ small and set $\tilde{u}_0(x) := u(x,\tau)$. Since $u_\varphi(\cdot,\tau) > \tilde{u}_0$, there exists a bounded continuous function $\psi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\psi \not\equiv 0$ and $u_\varphi(x,\tau) \geq \tilde{u}_0(x) + \psi(|x|)$. Now the comparison principle and Lemmas 4 and 6 guarantee that u_φ blows up in finite time.

If $0 \leq \psi \leq u_0$ then the global existence of the solution with initial data $u_0 - \psi$ follows analogously. □

Proof of Theorem 2. If u_0 is a strong threshold solution and $\varepsilon > 0$ then any functions $v_1, v_2 \in X$ satisfying $v_1 \leq u_0 \leq v_2$, $v_i \not\equiv u_0$, $i = 1,2$, and $\|u_0 - v_1\| + \|u_0 - v_2\| < \varepsilon$ fulfil the conditions in Theorem 2.

On the other hand, if $\varepsilon > 0$ and v_1, v_2 are the functions in Theorem 2 then $\Phi_\varepsilon^-(|x|) := \min(u_0(x), v_1(x))$ and $\Phi_\varepsilon^+(|x|) := \min(u_0(x), v_2(x))$ fulfil the conditions in Definition 1, hence u is an L^1-threshold solution. Now Theorem 4 guarantees that u is a strong threshold solution. □

4. Examples of strong threshold solutions

In this section we provide examples of various global strong threshold solutions. In addition, we also discuss possible behavior of strong threshold solutions with initial data $\Phi(|x|)$ satisfying $z(\Phi - U_*) < \infty$.

Example 1. Let $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$. Let L^* be from Theorem 1, $W(r) := L^* r^{-2/(p-1)}$, and let r_1 be defined by $W(r_1) = 1$. Consider initial data $\Phi_\alpha = \Phi_\alpha(|x|)$ of the form

$$\Phi_\alpha(r) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \leq r_1 + \alpha, \\ \max(m + (r - r_1 - \alpha)W'(r_1), W(r)) & \text{if } r > r_1 + \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$, see Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. Initial data Φ_α of a time-decaying strong threshold solution if $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$.

Set also $\tilde{\Phi}_m(r) := \min(m, W(r))$ for $m > 0$ and notice that $\Phi_0 = \tilde{\Phi}_1$. If $\alpha > 0$ is small then the solution $u^{(\alpha)}$ with initial data Φ_α exists globally due to Proposition 2 (with $u_0(x) := \Phi_0(|x|)$, $\phi := \tilde{\Phi}_m - \Phi_0$, $m > 1$, $\psi := \Phi_\alpha - \Phi_0$). On the other hand, $u^{(\alpha)}$ blows up in finite time if α is large enough. Therefore, the threshold value $\alpha^* := \sup\{\alpha > 0 : u^{(\alpha)} \text{ is global}\}$ satisfies $\alpha^* \in (0, \infty)$ and Theorem 4 shows that the solution u^* with initial data Φ_{α^*} is a strong threshold solution. Fix $\beta > 1$ large enough such that the steady state U_β satisfies $U_\beta(r) < W(r)$ for $r \geq r_1$. Then $z(\Phi_\alpha - U_\beta) = 1$ and Lemma 2 guarantees $u^*(0,t) < U_\beta(0)$ for all $t > 0$, hence u^* is global and bounded. Now Lemma 3 shows that u^* either converges to a positive steady state or decays to zero. Since $z(\Phi_{\alpha^*} - U_*) = 1$ and $z(U_\gamma - U_*) > 1$ for any $\gamma > 0$, we see that u^* cannot converge to u_γ, hence it decays to zero. □

Example 2. Let $p = p_S$. Fix $\beta \in (0,1)$ and let r_0 be the (only) zero of $U_1 - U_\beta$. Given $\alpha \in [0,1]$, set

$$\Phi_\alpha := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(U_1 + U_\beta) & \text{on } [r_0, \infty), \\ \alpha U_1 + (1-\alpha) U_\beta & \text{on } [0, r_0), \end{cases}$$

and consider the solution $u^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = U^{(\alpha)}(|x|,t)$ with initial data $\Phi_\alpha(|x|)$. Then $z(\Phi_\alpha - U_1) = z(\Phi_\alpha - U_\beta) = 1$ and $z((U^{(\alpha)})_t(\cdot,t)) < \infty$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $t > 0$. Proposition 1 and the continuous dependence on initial data guarantee that $u^{(\alpha)}$ blows up in finite time if α is close 1, while $u^{(\alpha)}$ exists globally if α is close to 0. Fix $\alpha^* = \sup\{\alpha : u^{(\alpha)} \text{ exists globally}\}$. Then

the corresponding threshold solution $u^{(\alpha^*)}$ exists globally and $U^{(\alpha^*)}(0,t) \in (U_\beta(0), U_1(0))$ for all t, due to Lemma 2 and the radial monotonicity of $U^{(\alpha^*)}$. Since $z(\frac{d}{dt}U^{(\alpha^*)}(\cdot,t)) < \infty$ for $t > 0$, Lemma 3 guarantees $u^{(\alpha^*)}(x,t) \to U_\gamma(|x|)$ as $t \to \infty$ for some $\gamma \in [\beta, 1]$.

If $p = p_S$ and $n \ge 7$ then a more detailed description of the asymptotic behavior of solutions converging to a positive steady state (and having initial data close to this steady state in the energy space $\dot{H}^1$) can be found in [3]. □

Example 3. Let $p \ge p_{JL}$. We will construct global strong threshold solutions which grow up.

Fix $0 < m < \tilde{m}$, let $R, \tilde{R}$ be defined by $U_*(R) = m$, $U_*(\tilde{R}) = \tilde{m}$, and $\tilde{\Phi}(r) := \min(\tilde{m}, U_*(r))$. Given $\alpha \ge 0$, set also

(10)
$$\Phi_\alpha(r) = \Phi_{\alpha,m}(r) := \begin{cases} m & \text{if } r \le R + \alpha, \\ \max(m + (r - R - \alpha)U_*'(R), U_*(r)) & \text{if } r > R + \alpha, \end{cases}$$

cf. similar initial data in Example 1. Notice that $\Phi_\alpha \ge \Phi_0 = \min(m, U_*)$, the solutions with initial data Φ_0 and $\tilde{\Phi}$ exist globally and grow up as $t \to \infty$ due to [20, Theorem 6.1], and $z(\Phi_\alpha - U_*) = 1$ if $\alpha > 0$. If $\alpha > 0$ is small then the solution $u^{(\alpha)}$ with initial data Φ_α exists globally due to Proposition 2 (with $u_0(x) := \Phi_0(|x|)$, $\phi := \tilde{\Phi} - \Phi_0$, $\psi := \Phi_\alpha - \Phi_0$). On the other hand, $u^{(\alpha)}$ blows up in finite time if α is large enough. The comparison principle and [26, Theorem 29.1] guarantee that the strong threshold solution $u^{(\alpha^*)}$ either grows up or blows up in finite time T. If $p > p_{JL}$ then [12, Theorem 5.28] guarantees that $u^{(\alpha^*)}$ grows up (since blow-up of a threshold solution cannot be complete).

The above arguments do not guarantee the existence of a strong threshold solutions with grow-up for $p = p_{JL}$ and, in addition, they are based on a nontrivial result from [12]. In order to overcome these drawbacks let us provide a more complicated construction which — in some sense — combines the above arguments and suitable modifications of the ideas in [21] (cf. also Example 4 below).

Fix $m_1 \in (0,1)$, set $\Phi_\alpha^{(1)} := \Phi_{\alpha,m_1}$, where $\Phi_{\alpha,m}$ is defined by (10), and let α_1^* be the threshold value. If the strong threshold solution with initial data $\Phi_{\alpha_1^*}^{(1)}$ exists globally then it grows up and we are done. If this solution blows up in finite time then choose $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha_1^*)$ such that $v_1(x) := (\Phi_{\alpha_1^*}^{(1)} - \Phi_{\alpha_1}^{(1)})(|x|)$ satisfies $\|v_1\| < 1$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $L^\infty \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix also $T_1 := 1$ and $\tilde{m}_1 \in (0, m_1)$ such that $\Phi_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}(r) = U_*(r)$ whenever $\Phi_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}(r) < \tilde{m}_1$. Let $u^{(1)}$ be the (global) solution with initial data $\Phi_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}$. The continous dependence on initial data guarantees the existence of $m_2 \in (0, \min(\tilde{m}_1, 1/2))$ such that the solution u of (1) exists on $[0, T_1]$ whenever $\|u_0 - u^{(1)}(\cdot, 0)\|_\infty \le m_2$.

Next consider initial data of the form $\Phi^{(2)}_\alpha := \max(\Phi^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}, \Phi_{\alpha,m_2})$ where $\alpha > 0$. Proposition 2 and Remark 1 (used with $u_0(x) := \Phi^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}(|x|)$, $\phi := \Phi^{(1)}_{(\alpha_1^*+\alpha_1)/2} - \Phi^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}$, $\psi := \Phi^{(2)}_\alpha - \Phi^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}$) guarantee that the corresponding solution exists globally if α is small and, obviously, the solution blows up if α is large. Let α_2^* be the threshold value. If the strong threshold solution with initial data $\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2^*}$ exists globally then it grows up and we are done. If this solution blows up in finite time then choose $\alpha_2 \in (0, \alpha_2^*)$ such that $v_2(x) := (\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2^*} - \Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2})(|x|)$ satisfies $\|v_2\| < 1/2$. Fix also $T_2 := 2$ and $\tilde{m}_2 \in (0, m_2)$ such that $\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2}(r) = U_*(r)$ whenever $\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2}(r) < \tilde{m}_2$. Let $u^{(2)}$ be the (global) solution with initial data $\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2}$. The continous dependence on initial data guarantees the existence of $m_3 \in (0, \min(\tilde{m}_2, 1/3))$ such that the solution u of (1) exists on $[0, T_2]$ whenever $\|u_0 - u^{(2)}(\cdot, 0)\|_\infty \le m_3$.

Next consider initial data of the form $\Phi^{(3)}_\alpha := \max(\Phi^{(2)}_{\alpha_2}, \Phi_{\alpha,m_3})$ where $\alpha > 0$, and proceed as above. If the process does not stop at any final step then we find sequences $T_k = k$, $m_1 > m_2 > \ldots 0$, $m_k < 1/k$, a nondecreasing sequence of global solutions $u^{(k)}$, functions $v_k \ge 0$ satisfying $\|v_k\| < 1/k$, $u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + v_k \in X$. In addition, the solution of (1) exists on $[0, T_k]$ whenever $0 \le u_0 \le u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + m_{k+1}$, we also have $u^{(j)}(\cdot, 0) \le u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + m_{k+1}$ for all $j > k$, and the solutions with initial data $u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + v_k$ blow up in finite time. It is now easy to see that the solution u^* with initial data $u_0^* := \lim_{k\to\infty} u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0)$ is a global strong threshold solution which grows up. In fact, the estimate $u_0^* \le u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + m_{k+1}$ for each k guarantees that u^* is global. Since the solutions with initial data $\max(u^*(\cdot, 0), u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + v_k) \in X$ blow up in finite time for each k, Theorem 2 guarantees that u^* is a strong threshold solution. The comparison principle and [26, Theorem 29.1] guarantee that this solution grows up. □

Assume $p \ge p_{JL}$. If u is a threshold solution with compactly supprted initial data in X then u is a strong threshold solution due to Theorem 4, and it is also known that such solution blows up. Example 3 shows that a strong threshold solution of (1) with initial data in X can grow up, and Example 4 will show that it can also satisfy (4). In the following proposition we show that if a strong threshold solution with initial data $u_0 \in X$ neither blows up nor grows up then u_0 has to intersect the singular steady state infinitely many times.

Proposition 3. *Assume $p \ge p_{JL}$. Let u be a strong threshold solution with initial data $u_0 \in X$, and let $u_0(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ where $z(\Phi - U_*) < \infty$. Then either u grows up or blows up in finite time.*

Proof. Since $z(\Phi - U_*) < \infty$ there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that either $\Phi(r) \ge U_*(r)$ for all $r \ge r_0$ or $\Phi(r) \le U_*(r)$ for all $r \ge r_0$. In the former case, the conclusion follows from the comparison principle and [26, Theorem 29.1]. In the latter case, fix $m_1 \in (0, \min(1, \Phi(0), U_*(r_0)))$ and let $r_1 > r_0$ be defined

by $U_*(r_1) = m_1$. Example 3(iii) guarantees the existence of a growing-up strong threshold solution u^G with radially nonincreasing initial data $u^G(x,0) = \Phi^G(|x|)$ satisfying $\Phi^G(r) = m_1$ for $r \le r_1$, $\Phi^G(r) \ge U_*(r)$ for $r > r_1$, $\Phi^G \not\equiv U_*$ on (r_1,∞). Since both Φ, Φ^G are initial data of strong threshold solutions, $\Phi^G \ge U_* \ge \Phi$ on $[r_1,\infty)$, $\Phi^G \equiv m_1 < \Phi(0)$ on $[0,r_1]$ and Φ is nonincreasing on $[0,r_1]$, we have $\Phi(0) > \Phi^G(0)$ and $z(\Phi - \Phi^G) = 1$. Fix $\delta > 0$ such that $\Phi > \Phi^G$ on $[0,\delta]$, and fix also $\varphi \in X_1 \setminus \{0\}$ with support in $[0,\delta]$. Then the solution $u^{(\alpha)}$ with initial data $(\Phi + \alpha\varphi)(|x|)$ blows up in finite time for any $\alpha > 0$, and $z(\Phi + \alpha\varphi - \Phi_{\alpha^*}) = 1$. The same arguments as in Lemma 2 imply

$$u(0,t) > u^G(0,t) \text{ for all } t < T, \tag{11}$$

where T denotes the maximal existence time of u. In fact, assume on the contrary $u(0,t_0) \le u^G(0,t_0)$ for some $t_0 < T$. Then $u < u^G$ for $t > t_0$ by the zero number properties. Fixing $t_1 \in (t_0,T)$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ small such that $u^{(\alpha)}(0,t_1) < u^G(0,t_1)$, hence $u^{(\alpha)} < u^G$ for $t \ge t_1$. Since $u^{(\alpha)}$ blows up and u^G is global, this yields a contradiction.

Since $u^G(0,t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, the conclusion follows from (11). □

Example 4. Assume $p > p_S$. We will use modifications of the arguments in [21] in order to find strong threshold solutions satisfying (4).

Given $\varepsilon, R > 0$, set

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon,R}(r) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } r \in [0,R], \\ \varepsilon(R+1-r) & \text{if } r \in (R,R+1), \\ 0 & \text{if } r \ge R+1. \end{cases}$$

Scaling and comparison with solutions of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in a ball show that, fixing $\varepsilon > 0$, the solution $u = u_{\varepsilon,R}$ of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) = \varphi_{\varepsilon,R}(|x|)$ blows up in finite time if R is large enough. In addition, there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ small such that the solution $u_1^R := u_{\varepsilon_1,R}$ exists globally if R is small enough. Set $R_1^* := \sup\{R > 0 : u_1^R \text{ exists globally}\}$. Then $u_1^{R_1^*}$ is a strong threshold solution with compact support, hence it blows up in a finite time T_1^*, see [12, Theorem 5.15], for example. Fix $T_1 \in (0,T_1^*)$ such that $\|u_1^{R_1^*}(\cdot,T_1)\|_\infty > 1$ and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in $L^\infty \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $R_1 \in (0,R_1^*)$ such that the solution $u_1^{R_1}$ satisfies $\|u_1^{R_1}(\cdot,T_1)\|_\infty > 1$ and the function $v_1 := (u_1^{R_1^*} - u_1^{R_1})(\cdot,0)$ satisfies $\|v_1\| < 1$. The solution $u^{(1)} := u_1^{R_1}$ with initial data $u_0^{(1)}(x) = \varphi_{\varepsilon_1,R_1}(|x|)$ exists globally and decays to zero (see [12, Theorem 5.15]), hence there exists $\tilde{T}_1 > \max(T_1,1)$ such that $\|u^{(1)}(\cdot,\tilde{T}_1)\|_\infty < 1$. The continuous dependence on initial data in L^∞ guarantees the existence of $\varepsilon_2 \in (0,\min(\varepsilon_1,1/2))$ such that the solution of (1) exists on $[0,\tilde{T}_1]$ and satisfies $\|u(\cdot,\tilde{T}_1)\|_\infty < 1$ whenever its initial data u_0 satisfy $0 \le u_0 \le u^{(1)}(\cdot,0) + \varepsilon_2$.

Next consider solutions u_2^R with initial data of the form

$$u_2^R(x,0) = \max(u^{(1)}(x,0), \varphi_{\varepsilon_2,R}(|x|)), \quad R > R_1 + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2.$$

Since these solutions exists globally if R is close to $R_1 + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ and blow up in finite time if R is large, there exists a threshold value $R_2^* > R_1 + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$. The corresponding strong threshold solution $u_2^{R_2^*}$ blows up in a finite time $T_2^* > \tilde{T}_1$. As above, we find $R_2 \in (R_1, R_2^*)$ and $T_2 \in (\tilde{T}_1, T_2^*)$ such that the solution $u_2^{R_2}$ satisfies $\|u_2^{R_2}(\cdot, T_2)\|_\infty > 2$ and the function $v_2 := (u_2^{R_2^*} - u_2^{R_2})(\cdot, 0)$ satisfies $\|v_2\| < 1/2$. The solution $u^{(2)} := u_2^{R_2}$ exists globally and decays to zero, hence there exists $\tilde{T}_2 > \max(T_2, 2)$ such that $\|u^{(2)}(\cdot, \tilde{T}_2)\|_\infty < 1/2$. The continuous dependence on initial data in L^∞ guarantees the existence of $\varepsilon_3 \in (0, \min(\varepsilon_2, 1/3))$ such that the solution of (1) exists on $[0, \tilde{T}_2]$ and satisfies $\|u(\cdot, \tilde{T}_2)\|_\infty < 1/2$ whenever its initial data u_0 satisfy $0 \le u_0 \le u^{(2)}(\cdot, 0) + \varepsilon_3$.

Next we consider solutions with initial data

$$u_3^R(x,0) = \max(u^{(2)}(x,0), \varphi_{\varepsilon_3,R}(|x|)), \quad R > R_2 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3,$$

and proceed as above. By induction we find a nondecreasing sequence of global solutions $u^{(k)}$ and sequences $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots$, $0 < T_1 < \tilde{T}_1 < T_2 < \tilde{T}_2 < T_3 < \dots$ such that $\varepsilon_k < 1/k$, $\tilde{T}_k > k$, $\|u^{(k)}(\cdot, T_k)\| > k$, $u^{(j)}(\cdot, 0) \le u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + \varepsilon_{k+1}$ if $j > k$, $\|u(\cdot, \tilde{T}_k)\| < 1/k$ whenever $0 \le u(\cdot, 0) \le u^{(k)}(0, \cdot) + \varepsilon_{k+1}$, and the solutions with initial data $u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + v_k \in X$ blow up in finite time for suitable v_k satisfying $\|v_k\| < 1/k$. Let u^* be the solution with initial data $u_0^* := \lim_{k\to\infty} u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) \in X$. Since $u_0^* \le u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + \varepsilon_{k+1}$ for each k, the solution u^* exists globally. Since the solutions with initial data $\max(u^*(\cdot, 0), u^{(k)}(\cdot, 0) + v_k) \in X$ blow up in finite time for each k, Theorem 2 guarantees that u^* is a global strong threshold solution. Obviously, u^* satisfies (4). □

Remark 2. (i) Assume $p = p_S$. As mentioned above, [5, Conjecture 1.1] suggests that if the initial data behave like $|x|^{-\gamma}$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then the threshold solution u^* should grow up if $n = 3$ and $\gamma > 1$ (or $n = 4$ and $\gamma > 2$). A rigorous result for $n = 3$ has recently been obtained in [4]. More precisely, if $n = 3$ and $p = p_S$ then the results in [4] guarantee the existence of radially symmetric initial data $u_0^G \in BC^+$ such that the corresponding solution u^G of (1) satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} u^G(0, t) = \infty$. Let us show that this implies the existence of a strong threshold solution (with initial data in X) which grows up. In fact, set $M := \|u_0^G\|_\infty$ and let $u_0^G(x) = U_0^G(|x|)$. Taking δ small, the solution of (1) with initial data satisfying $0 \le u_0(x) \le 3M$ if $|x| \le 2\delta$, $u_0(x) = 0$ if $|x| > 2\delta$, exists globally and decays to zero, see [26, Theorem 20.15]. Set $r_0 := \min\{r \in (0, 2\delta] : U_0^G(r) = 4M - 2Mr/\delta\}$ and notice that $r_0 > \delta$. Given $r \in (r_0, 2\delta)$, set $\tilde{U}_0^G(r) := \min\{U_0^G(\rho) : \rho \in [r_0, r]\}$.

Set also

$$\Phi(r) := \begin{cases} 2M & \text{if } r \in [0,\delta], \\ 4M - 2Mr/\delta & \text{if } r \in (\delta, r_0], \\ \min(4M - 2Mr/\delta, \tilde{U}_0^G(r)) & \text{if } r \in (r_0, 2\delta], \\ 0 & \text{if } r > 2\delta. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Phi \in X_1$ and the solution of (1) with initial data $u(x,0) = \Phi(|x|)$ exists globally and decays to zero. This fact and the definition of Φ also imply $z(\Phi - U_0^G) = 1$. Given $\alpha > 0$, set $\Phi_\alpha := \Phi + \alpha\varphi$, where $\varphi \in X_1 \setminus \{0\}$ has support in $[0,\delta]$. Then $z(\Phi_\alpha - U_0^G) = 1$ for all α and the solution of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) = \Phi_\alpha(|x|)$ exists globally or blows up in finite time if α is small or large, respectively. Let $u^*(x,t)$ denote the corresponding (strong) threshold solution. The global existence of threshold solutions (for $p = p_S$ and initial data in X) and the proof of (11) guarantee $u^*(0,t) > u^G(0,t)$ for all $t > 0$. Since $u^G(0,t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, u^* has to grow up.

(ii) Arguments in (i) suggest that if $p = p_S$ and $n = 3$ (or $n = 4$) then threshold solutions with compactly supported initial data in X grow up. If this is true and if, in addition, the corresponding sub-threshold solutions decay to zero as $t \to \infty$ (which is also plausible) then one can easily modify the arguments in Example 4 in order to find a strong treshold solution satisfying (4). □

5. Proofs of Theorem 1 for $p < p_{JL}$ and Theorem 3

In this section we will prove Theorem 1 for $p < p_{JL}$ and Theorem 3.

Given $\ell, m > 0$, set

$$\Phi_\ell(r) = \Phi_\ell(r;m) := \min(m, \ell r^{-2/(p-1)}) \tag{12}$$

and notice that

$$\Phi_\ell(r; \lambda^{2/(p-1)} m) = \lambda^{2/(p-1)} \Phi_\ell(\lambda r; m), \qquad \lambda > 0. \tag{13}$$

Fix $m > 0$. The scaling invariance of (1), (13) and [26, Theorem 20.6] guarantee that the solution $u^{(\ell)}$ with initial data Φ_ℓ exists globally. On the other hand, $u^{(\ell)}$ blows up in finite time if ℓ is large enough, due to scaling arguments and [26, Theorem 17.1]. Set $\ell^* := \sup\{\ell : u^{(\ell)} \text{ is global}\}$ and let $u^{[m]} := u^{(\ell^*)}$. Notice that $\ell^* > L$ if $p \ge p_S$ due to [28] and that ℓ^* is independent of m due to the scaling invariance of (1) and (13). If $p < p_S$ then the universal bounds in [19] and continuous dependence on initial data guarantees that $u^{[m]}$ is global and decays to zero as $t \to \infty$. If $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$ then choosing $\ell_1 \in (L, \ell^*)$ and $\beta > m$ large enough we have $U_\beta(r) < \ell_1 r^{-2/(p-1)}$ for all $r \ge r_1$, where r_1 is defined by $m = \ell_1 r_1^{-2/(p-1)}$. Consequently, $z(\Phi_\ell - U_\beta) = 1$ for all ℓ close to ℓ^*, and the same arguments as in Example 1 guarantee that $u^{[m]}$ is global and decays to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Since $\Phi_{\ell^*}(\cdot; m_1) \le \Phi_{\ell^*}(\cdot; m_2)$ if $m_1 \le m_2$, $u^{[m]}$ is a weak threshold solution for any m, and $u^{[m]} \nearrow \tilde{u}$ as $m \to \infty$, where $\tilde{u}$ is the minimal weak solution

of (1) with initial data $\tilde{u}_0(x) = \ell^*|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$. Due to the scaling invariance, given $\lambda > 0$, we have $u^{[\Lambda m]}(x,t) = \Lambda u^{[m]}(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$, where $\Lambda := \lambda^{2/(p-1)}$. Passing to the limit as $m \to \infty$ we see that $\tilde{u}$ is self-similar. In addition, the global existence of $u^{[m]}$ guarantees a uniform bound for $u^{[m]}(\cdot,t)$ in $L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u^{[m]}$ in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty))$, hence the same bounds are true for $\tilde{u}$. Since $u^{[m]}$, hence $\tilde{u}$ are radially nonincreasing, these bounds guarantee that $\tilde{u}$ is a global classical solution in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$. Consequently, $\tilde{u}(x,t) = t^{-1/(p-1)} w(|x|/\sqrt{t})$, where w is a classical positive solution of the equation

$$w'' + \Big(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\Big) w' + \frac{1}{p-1} w + w^p = 0 \qquad \text{for } r > 0. \tag{14}$$

If $p < p_S$ then the universal bounds in [19] guarantee that w is bounded. Let $p \geq p_S$. Given $x \neq 0$, we have $\lim_{t\to 0} u^{[m]}(x,t) = \ell^*|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$ for m large enough. Since $\tilde{u} \geq u^{[m]}$, we have $\liminf_{t\to 0} \tilde{u}(x,t) \geq \ell^*|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$, hence

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} w(r) r^{2/(p-1)} \geq \ell^* > \ell_1 > L. \tag{15}$$

In addition, since $u^{[m]}(x,t) = U^{[m]}(|x|,t)$ and $z(U^{[m]}(\cdot,t) - U_*) \leq 1$, we also have

$$z(w - U_*) \leq 1. \tag{16}$$

The uniqueness result for singular solutions of (14) in [25], (15) and (16) guarantee that w is bounded. The boundedness of w (for both $p < p_S$ and $p \geq p_S$) guarantees that $w \in C^2([0,\infty))$ and w satisfies the initial condition $w'(0) = 0$ (see [25]). In addition, w is nonincreasing. Since $\ell(w) := \lim_{r\to\infty} r^{2/(p-1)} w(r)$ is well defined for any bounded solution w of (14)) due to [9], (15) implies $\ell(w) \geq \ell^*$.

It is known (see [17] and the references therein) that there exists a unique bounded solution w^* of (14) for which the value $\ell(w^*)$ is maximal. Then

$$v(x,t) := (t+1)^{-1/(p-1)} w^*(|x|/\sqrt{t+1})$$

is a global solution of (1) with positive initial data $v_0(x) = w^*(|x|)$ so that the choice of ℓ^* guarantees

$$\ell^* \geq \ell(w^*). \tag{17}$$

Now $\ell^* \leq \ell(w) \leq \ell(w^*)$ and (17) imply $\ell(w^*) = \ell^*$, hence $w = w^*$.

Let $u_0 \in BC^+$ and let u denote the solution of (1). First assume $u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} \leq \ell^*$. Choose $m > 0$ such that $u_0(x) \leq \Phi_{\ell^*}(|x|;m)$. Then

$$u(x,t) \leq u^{[m]}(x,t) \leq \tilde{u}(x,t) = t^{-1/(p-1)} w(|x|/\sqrt{t}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0,$$

hence u exists globally and $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \leq C t^{-1/(p-1)}$.

Next assume $A := \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} > \ell^*$. Then there exists $R > 0$ such that $u_0(x)|x|^{2/(p-1)} > (A+\ell^*)/2$ for $|x| \geq R$. Set $\hat{u}_0(x) = \hat{\Phi}(|x|)$,

where

$$\hat\Phi(r) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r \le R, \\ \frac12 (A+\ell^*) r^{-2/(p-1)} & \text{for } r \ge R+1, \\ (r-R)\hat\Phi(R+1) & \text{for } r \in (R, R+1), \end{cases}$$

and let $\hat u(x,t) = \hat U(|x|,t)$ be the solution of (1) with initial data $\hat u_0$. Then $0 \le \hat u_0 \le u_0$ and it is sufficient to prove that $\hat u$ blows up in finite time. Fix $t_0 > 0$ small and denote $c_0 := \hat u(0,t_0) > 0$. Since $\ell := (A+3\ell^*)/4 > \ell^*$, the solutions $v^{[m]}(x,t) = V^{[m]}(|x|,t)$ with initial data $\Phi_\ell(|x|;m)$ blow up in finite time for any $m > 0$. Notice that $V^{[m]}(0,0) = m > 0 = \hat U(0,0)$ and $z(V^{[m]}(\cdot,0) - \hat U(\cdot,0)) = 1$. In addition, the continuous dependence of solutions on initial data in L^∞ guarantees $V^{[m]}(0,t_0) < c_0 = \hat U(0,t_0)$ for m small enough, hence $z(V^{[m]}(\cdot,t_0) - \hat U(\cdot,t_0)) = 0$, $V^{[m]}(\cdot,t_0) \le \hat U(\cdot,t_0)$. Since $v^{[m]}$ blows up in finite time and $\hat u(\cdot,t) \ge v^{[m]}(\cdot,t)$ for $t \ge t_0$, the solution $\hat u$ blows up in finite time as well.

It remains to prove that the solution of (1) with initial data $\tilde u(\cdot,t_0)$ is a strong threshold solution for any $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $t_0 = 1$. In fact, once we prove the assertion for $t_0 = 1$, the assertion for $t_0 > 1$ follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 4, and the assertion for $t_0 \in (0,1)$ follows from the comparison principle.

Set $u_0(x) := \tilde u(x,1) = w(|x|)$ and notice that $u_0 \in X$. Since the corresponding solution $u(x,t) = \tilde u(x,t+t_0)$ exists globally, fixing $\varepsilon > 0$ is it sufficient to find $\varphi \in X_1$ such that $\|\varphi(|\cdot|)\| < \varepsilon$ and the solution $\hat u$ of (1) with initial data $u_0(x) + \varphi(|x|)$ blows up in finite time, see Theorem 2.

Let $\hat w$ be defined by

$$\hat u(x,t) = (t+1)^{-1/(p-1)} \hat w(r,s), \qquad r = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t+1}},\ s = \log(t+1).$$

Then $\hat u(x,0) = \hat w(|x|,0)$, $\hat w_r(0,s) = 0$, and

$$\hat w_s = \hat w_{rr} + \Big(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\Big)\hat w_r + \frac{1}{p-1}\hat w + \hat w^p, \quad r,s > 0. \tag{18}$$

Notice that $\hat w_0(r) := \hat w(r,0)$ satisfies $\hat w_0 = w + \varphi$, and w is a stationary solution of (18).

[16, Lemma 3.2(i), Remark 3.7 and the proof of Lemma D.1] guarantee that there exists a positive function $\phi \in C^2([0,\infty))$ such that $\phi(|\cdot|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi'(0) = 0$ and

$$\phi_{rr} + \Big(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\Big)\phi_r + \frac{1}{p-1}\phi + p w^{p-1}\phi = 0, \quad r > 0.$$

It is easy to see that the solution of this equation has to be nonincreasing, hence $\phi \in X_1$. Taking a suitable positive multiple of ϕ we may assume $\|\phi(|\cdot|)\| < 1$. Set $\varphi := \varepsilon\phi$.

Then $(\hat w)_s(\cdot,0) = (w+\varphi)^p - w^p - p w^{p-1}\varphi > 0$, hence $\hat w$ is a time-increasing solution. Assume on the contrary that $\hat w$ (hence also $\hat u$) is global. If $p < p_S$ then the universal estimate in [19] for $\hat u$ guarantee that $\hat w$ is bounded, hence

$\hat{w}(\cdot,t)$ has to converge to a bounded steady state lying above w, which contradicts the nonexistence of such steady states. Next assume $p \geq p_S$ and notice that $z(\hat{w}_0 - U_*) = 1$ if $p = p_S$ and ε is small enough. Since (18) possesses neither bounded nor unbounded steady states above w due to [25], there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\hat{w}(r_0,t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $\hat{w}_r \leq 0$, we have $\inf_{0<r<r_0} \hat{w}(r,t) = \hat{w}(r_0,t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, and comparison with the corresponding boundary value problem in $(0,r_0)$ (with boundary conditions $w_r(0,t) = w(r_0,t) = 0$) and a Kaplan-type argument easily guarantee blow-up of $\hat{w}$, which concludes the proof.

Acknowledgements. The author was supported in part by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-14-0378 and by VEGA grant 1/0319/15.

References

1. S. Blatt and M. Struwe, An analytic framework for the supercritical Lane-Emden equation and its gradient flow, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **2015** (2015), 2342–2385.
2. K.-S. Chou, S.-Z. Du and G.-F. Zheng, On partial regularity of the borderline solution of semilinear parabolic problems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **30** (2007), 251–275.
3. C. Collot, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Dynamics near the ground state for the energy critical nonlinear heat equation in large dimensions, Preprint arXiv:1604.08323.
4. M. del Pino, M. Musso and J. Wei, Infinite time blow-up for critical heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with fast decay initial condition, In preparation.
5. M. Fila and J.R. King, Grow up and slow decay in the critical Sobolev case, *Netw. Heterog. Media* **7** (2012), 661–671.
6. V.A. Galaktionov and J.L. Vázquez, Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several space dimensions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** (1997), 1–67.
7. C. Gui, W.-M. Ni and X. Wang, On the stability and instability of positive steady states of a semilinear heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992), 1153–1181.
8. C. Gui, W.-M. Ni and X. Wang, Further study on a nonlinear heat equation, *J. Differential Equations* **169** (2001), 588–613.
9. A. Haraux and F.B. Weissler, Non-uniqueness for a semilinear initial value problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982), 167–189.
10. T. Kawanago, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a semilinear heat equation with subcritical nonlinearity, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. non linéaire* **13** (1996), 1–15.
11. H. Matano and F. Merle, On nonexistence of type II blowup for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57** (2004), 1494–1541.
12. H. Matano and F. Merle, Classification of type I and type II behaviors for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 992–1064.
13. H. Matano and F. Merle, Threshold and generic type I behaviors for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), 716–748.
14. N. Mizoguchi, On the behavior of solutions for a semilinear parabolic equation with supercritical nonlinearity, *Math. Z.* **239** (2002), 215–229.
15. N. Mizoguchi, Boundedness of global solutions for a supercritical semilinear heat equation and its application, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **54** (2005), 1047–1059.
16. Y. Naito, An ODE approach to the multiplicity of self-similar solutions for semi-linear heat equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **136** (2006), 807–835.

17. Y. Naito, The role of forward self-similar solutions in the Cauchy problem for semilinear heat equations, *J. Differential Equations* **253** (2012), 3029–3060.
18. W.-M. Ni and R. Nussbaum, Uniqueness and nonuniqueness for positive radial solutions of $\Delta u + f(u, r) = 0$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985), 67–108.
19. P. Poláčik and P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. Part II: Parabolic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **56** (2007), 879–908.
20. P. Poláčik and E. Yanagida, On bounded and unbounded global solutions of a supercritical semilinear heat equation, *Math. Ann.* **327** (2003), 745–771.
21. P. Poláčik and E. Yanagida, Global unbounded solutions of the Fujita equation in the intermediate range, *Math. Ann.* **360** (2014), 255–266.
22. P. Poláčik and E. Yanagida, Localized solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a recurrent nonstationary asymptotics, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (2014), 3481–3496.
23. P. Quittner, Continuity of the blow-up time and a priori bounds for solutions in superlinear parabolic problems, *Houston J. Math.* **29** (2003), 757–799.
24. P. Quittner, Liouville theorems for scaling invariant superlinear parabolic problems with gradient structure, *Math. Ann.* **364** (2016), 269–292.
25. P. Quittner, Uniqueness of singular forward or backward self-similar solutions of a semilinear heat equation, Preprint.
26. P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, *Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
27. Ph. Souplet, Morrey spaces and classification of global solutions for a supercritical semilinear heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Preprint arXiv:1604.01667.
28. Ph. Souplet and F.B. Weissler, Regular self-similar solutions of the nonlinear heat equation with initial data above the singular steady state, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), 213–235.

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Comenius University Mlynská dolina, 84248 Bratislava, Slovakia

E-mail address: quittner@fmph.uniba.sk